Appln. No.: 09/751,604

Amdt. Dated August 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action dated June 7, 2004

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of this application is requested.

Claims 1-24 have been rejected by the Examiner under rule 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rune (U.S. Patent No. 6,304,913) in view of Rabinovich (U.S. Patent No. 6,256,675).

Claims 8 and 16 have been cancelled.

Rune discloses the following in lines 6-38 of column 5:

"Referring to FIG. 2, there is illustrated a simplified flowchart of the selection method 200 used to select the closest or most appropriate alternative server 158b from the viewpoint of the requesting host 152a. Beginning at steps 202 and 204, the host name 114 is assigned (step 202) to the set of alternative servers 158b and 158e and a unique IP address 116 is assigned (step 204) to each alternative server so that no two alternative servers have the same IP address. For example, the set of alternative servers 158b and 158e can have the host name 114 of "mirror_servers" and IP addresses 116 of "209.180.55.2" (alternative server 158b) and "209.180.55.9" (alternative server 158e).

At step 206, the assigned host name 114 and the unique IP addresses 116 are stored in some or all of the look-up tables 111 of the DNS servers 156a-156e. The DNS servers 156a-156e can be different levels of hierarchy such that one DNS server (e.g., DNS server 156a) may not store a particular host name and IP address while another DNS server (e.g., DNS server 156e) a step lower in the hierarchy may store the particular host name and IP addresses.

At step 208, the requesting host (e.g., requesting host 152a) transmits a translation request containing the host name 114 of the alternative servers 158b and 158e to one of the DNS servers (e.g., DNS server 156a). In the event one of the local DNS servers (e.g., DNS server 156a) does not recognize the host name 114 transmitted in the translation request, then the local DNS server 156a would refer the request to another DNS server (e.g., DNS server 156c) known as a DNS root server which locates yet another

Appln. No.: 09/751,604

Amdt. Dated August 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action dated June 7, 2004

DNS server (e.g., DNS server **156**e) that is a step lower in the hierarchy which may recognize the transmitted host name.

Rabinovich discloses the following in lines 7-29 of column 6:

"A request distributor 101 is connected to a network 102. Hosts 103 104 and 105 are also connected to the network 102. A host is defined to be a computer that stores a replica of an object. An object is a piece of information. A replica is a physical embodiment of an object. For example, a replica is a file stored on a medium that is adapted to be read electronically. One example of a replica is a graphics file stored on a hard disk that is part of a computer. Another example is an executable program stored in random access memory.

The request distributor is comprised of a processor 106 and a memory 107 that stores request distribution instructions 108 adapted to be executed by the processors 106 to perform the method in accordance with the present invention. In one embodiment, request distribution instructions 108 are adapted to be executed by processor 106 to receive a request for an object from a requester 109 connected to the network 102 and distribute the request to a host (e.g., host 103) that stores a replica of the requested object in accordance with the method of the present invention. A replica is replicated to a second host when a replica of the object is newly recognized to be stored at the second host. Processor 106 is coupled to memory 107."

In the invention disclosed by Rune, DNS servers 156a-156e are involved at the beginning of the operation. In the invention disclosed by Rabinovich, request distributor 101 is used in the beginning of the operation.

The inventions disclosed by Rune and Rabinovich, taken separately or together, do not disclose or anticipate the invention claimed by Applicant's invention in claims 1, 9 and 17, as amended, and those claims dependent thereon. The cited references do not disclose or anticipate step d) of claims 1, 9 and 17, namely, accessing by said devices a seed system to download an updated table if said devices can not access the service

Appln. No.: 09/751,604

Amdt. Dated August 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action dated June 7, 2004

provider retrieved from said table. In other words, Rune's DNS servers 156a-156e and Rabinovich's request distributor 101, which are equivalent to Applicant's seed system 34, are used in a different manner. In Applicant's invention, the table is used first. If the information is available in the table, there is no need to go to the seed system. The seed system is only used as a last resort, when the information from the table is not correct and has to be updated. Consequently, Applicant's claimed invention is faster than the inventions disclosed by Rune and Rabinovich, since Rune's DNS servers 156a-156e and Rabinovich's request distributor 101 handle a larger amount of traffic

In view of the above, claims 1-7, 9-15 and 17-24, as amended, are patentable. If the Examiner has any questions, would be please contact the undersigned at the telephone number noted below.

than Applicant's seed system 34, which takes additional time.

Respectfully submitted.

Ronald Reichman Reg. No. 26,796

Attorney of Record

Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC. Intellectual Property and Technology Law Department 35 Waterview Drive P.O. Box 3000 Shelton, CT 06484-8000